

## เอกสารอ้างอิง

1. วิณัฏฐา แสงสุข และ จิตติพร ลี้มแหลมทอง, ความรู้เบื้องต้นเกี่ยวกับกฎหมายทั่วไป. กรุงเทพมหานคร: สำนักพิมพ์มหาวิทยาลัยรามคำแหง, 2555.
2. อีระ สิงห์พันธุ์, กฎหมายอาญาภาค 1. กรุงเทพมหานคร : สำนักพิมพ์มหาวิทยาลัยรามคำแหง, 2556.
3. รติชัย รถทอง, กฎหมายแพ่งและพาณิชย์ว่าด้วยนิติกรรมและสัญญา. กรุงเทพมหานคร: สำนักพิมพ์มหาวิทยาลัยรามคำแหง, 2558.
4. สำนักงานราชบัณฑิตยสภา, “พจนานุกรม ฉบับราชบัณฑิตยสถาน พ.ศ.๒๕๕๔.” .
5. สำนักงานศาลฎีกา, “ศาลฎีกา - The Supreme Court of Thailand.” .
6. M. Gambhir and V. Gupta, “Recent automatic text summarization techniques: a survey,” *Artif. Intell. Rev.*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 1–66, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s10462-016-9475-9.
7. N. Munot and S. S. Govilkar, “Comparative Study of Text Summarization Methods,” *Int. J. Comput. Appl.*, vol. 102, no. 12, pp. 33–37, 2014, doi: 10.5120/17870-8810.
8. C. M. Ambrus *et al.*, “Treatment of lead poisoning with an immobilized chelator comparison with conventional therapy,” *Res. Commun. Mol. Pathol. Pharmacol.*, vol. 110, no. 3–4, pp. 253–263, 2001.
9. R. Hermawan, *Natural language processing with python*, June 2009., vol. 1, no. 1. United States of America: O’Reilly Media, 2011.
10. C. Haruechaiyasak, S. Kongyoung, and M. Dailey, “A comparative study on thai word segmentation approaches,” *5th Int. Conf. Electr. Eng. Comput. Telecommun. Inf. Technol. ECTI-CON 2008*, vol. 1, pp. 125–128, 2008, doi: 10.1109/ECTICON.2008.4600388.
11. O. Sornil and P. Chaiwanarom, “Combining prediction by partial matching and logistic regression for Thai word segmentation,” pp. 1208-es, 2004, doi: 10.3115/1220355.1220530.
12. N. Durrani and S. Hussain, “Urdu word segmentation,” *NAACL HLT 2010 - Hum. Lang. Technol. 2010 Annu. Conf. North Am. Chapter Assoc. Comput. Linguist. Proc. Main Conf.*, no. June, pp. 528–536, 2010.

### เอกสารอ้างอิง (ต่อ)

13. W. Kunnu, N. Kaewrattanapat, E. Major, and I. M. Program, “The Automatic Classification of Thai news by Similarity Method .”
14. R. Zhao and K. Mao, “Fuzzy bag-of-words model for document representation,” *IEEE Trans. fuzzy Syst.*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 794–804, 2017.
15. R. A. García-Hernández, R. Montiel, Y. Ledeneva, E. Rendón, A. Gelbukh, and R. Cruz, “Text summarization by sentence extraction using unsupervised learning,” *Lect. Notes Comput. Sci. (including Subser. Lect. Notes Artif. Intell. Lect. Notes Bioinformatics)*, vol. 5317 LNAI, pp. 133–143, 2008, doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-88636-512.
16. D. W. Brata and A. Hetami, “Perancangan Information Retrieval (IR) Untuk Pencarian Ide Pokok Teks Artikel Berbahasa Inggris Dengan Pembobotan Vector Space Model,” *J. Ilm. Teknol. Inf. Asia*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 53–59, 2015.
17. C. Zhang and Y. Ma, *Ensemble machine learning: Methods and applications*. 2012.
18. J. Van Hulse, T. M. Khoshgoftaar, A. Napolitano, and R. Wald, “Threshold-based feature selection techniques for high-dimensional bioinformatics data,” *Netw. Model. Anal. Heal. Informatics Bioinforma.*, vol. 1, no. 1–2, pp. 47–61, 2012, doi: 10.1007/s13721-012-0006-6.
19. K. Bhargavi and S. Jyothi, “A Survey on Threshold Based Segmentation Technique in Image Processing,” *Int. J. Innov. Res. Dev.*, vol. 3, no. 12, pp. 234–39, 2014, [Online]. Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Singaraju\\_Jyothi2/publication/309209325\\_A\\_Survey\\_on\\_Threshold\\_Based\\_Segmentation\\_Technique\\_in\\_Image\\_Processing/links/5805bb6f08aee314f68e2879/A-Survey-on-Threshold-Based-Segmentation-Technique-in-Image-Processing.pdf](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Singaraju_Jyothi2/publication/309209325_A_Survey_on_Threshold_Based_Segmentation_Technique_in_Image_Processing/links/5805bb6f08aee314f68e2879/A-Survey-on-Threshold-Based-Segmentation-Technique-in-Image-Processing.pdf).
20. K. Maher and M. S. Joshi, “Effectiveness of Different Similarity Measures for Text Classification and Clustering,” *Int. J. Comput. Sci. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 1715–1720, 2016.

### เอกสารอ้างอิง (ต่อ)

21. D. Wood and D. MacAlister, “Accountable, Responsive and Independent: On the Need for Balance in Police Governance,” *Int. J. Police Sci. Manag.*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 197–207, 2005, doi: 10.1350/ijps.2005.7.3.197.
22. A. Trotman, A. Puurula, and B. Burgess, “Improvements to BM25 and language models examined,” *ACM Int. Conf. Proceeding Ser.*, vol. 27-28-Nove, pp. 58–65, 2014, doi: 10.1145/2682862.2682863.
23. R. Ghawi and J. Pfeffer, “Efficient Hyperparameter Tuning with Grid Search for Text Categorization using kNN Approach with BM25 Similarity,” *Open Comput. Sci.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 160–180, 2019, doi: 10.1515/comp-2019-0011.
24. K. W. Boyack *et al.*, “Clustering more than two million biomedical publications: Comparing the accuracies of nine text-based similarity approaches,” *PLoS One*, vol. 6, no. 3, 2011, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0018029.
25. E. Haddi, X. Liu, and Y. Shi, “The Role of Text Pre-processing in Sentiment Analysis,” *Procedia Comput. Sci.*, vol. 17, pp. 26–32, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2013.05.005.
26. C. Grover, B. Hachey, I. Hughson, and C. Korycinski, “Automatic summarisation of legal documents,” *Proc. Int. Conf. Artif. Intell. Law*, pp. 243–251, 2003, doi: 10.1145/1047788.1047839.
27. B. Hachey and C. Grover, “Sentence Classification Experiments for Legal Text Summarisation,” *Leg. Knowl. Inf. Syst. Jurix 2004, Seventeenth Annu. Conf.*, no. May, pp. 29–38, 2004.
28. C. Grover, B. Hachey, and C. Korycinski, “Summarising legal texts: Sentential tense and argumentative roles,” *Proc. HLT-NAACL 03 Text Summ. Work. 5*, no. June, pp. 33–40, 2003.
29. B. Hachey and C. Grover, “Automatic legal text summarisation: Experiments with summary structuring,” *Proc. Int. Conf. Artif. Intell. Law*, no. May, pp. 75–84, 2005, doi: 10.1145/1165485.1165498.